1. IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

This addendum must be read, signed and dated by the bidder and included with the bid documents submitted by the bidder on or before the bid closing date and time. Failure to include this addendum with the bid documents may result in bid rejection.

Bidder will carefully note the inclusion of this addendum on the bid form.

2. ATTACHMENTS TO THIS ADDENDUM:
   a. N/A

3. CHANGES/CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

   a. Under Scope of Work Pavement Assessment Section, Page 4, third paragraph, second sentence should read as follows: Each roadway should have an overall pavement condition rating using PCI scoring, or approved equal, as well as a separate **sub-**surface condition rating.

   b. Under Scope of Work Pavement Management Software Section, Page 5, add the following:

   Please provide a separate cost for the consultant to implement the pavement management software. Implementation would include setting up and configuring the software to work with the Village data and adding pertinent information such as deterioration curves, maintenance plans, and decision trees so that the software can perform the multi-year budget and condition analysis the Village wants.

   c. Under Scope of Work Pavement Assessment Section, Page 4, paragraph 5, the sentence should read as follows:

   The consultant shall perform base testing using a falling weight deflectometer **on all Village streets**. Other options for base inspection will be considered if proposed.

4. QUESTIONS
   The questions listed below were received.
   - The RFP states that pavement evaluation should be in accordance to the ASTM D6433 standard; the RFP also says that a "surface condition rating" is required. Evaluations done per the ASTM D6433 standard only produce a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) and they do not produce a Surface Condition Rating. Can the Village

provide further clarification and guidance as to how the selected consultant should calculate a Surface Condition Rating?

- The RFP states that the selected consultant should provide a pavement management software and associated training/support; however, the RFP does not state that the consultant should implement the pavement management software. Implementation would include setting up and configuring the software to work with the Village data and adding pertinent information such as deterioration curves, maintenance plans, and decision trees so that the software can perform the multi-year budget and condition analysis the Village wants. Does the Village anticipate performing these tasks internally or does the Village require the selected consultant to perform the implementation?

- The RFP states that the consultant "shall perform base testing using a falling weight deflectometer". Can the Village provide further clarification and guidance on how much falling weight deflectometer testing is required? Are there specific streets that need this testing? Has the Village performed this testing in the past?

- Given that the anticipated Addendum release date is 2-days before the proposal due date, would the Village please consider extending the proposal due date by an additional week? This would give us enough time to incorporate changes introduced by the Addendum and produce a responsive proposal.

- Can the Village provide the allocated budget for this project?

- Is the Village open to the PASER method?

5. CLARIFICATIONS

   a. The Village wishes to have falling weight deflectometer testing throughout the entire Village. It was completed previously throughout the Village.

   b. No changes are proposed to proposal due date at this time.

   c. This information can be found on the Village website in our Capital Improvement Plan and is shown to be $117,200.

   d. PASER method is not acceptable.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

   The Bidder acknowledges receipt of this Addendum and understands its content to the Bid Documents.

7. SIGNATURE AND DATE

_____

Authorized Signature   Date